
A First Look: 2013 Mathematics and Reading

NATIONAL ASSESSMENT OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRESS AT GRADES 4 AND 8







A New Approach to Reporting

NAEP results are now easier than ever to access in a new interactive website at http://nationsreportcard.gov/reading_math_2013. The results from the 2013 assessments in mathematics and reading at grades 4 and 8 highlighted on the following pages can be explored in more detail with interactive graphics, downloadable data, and enhanced features for viewing state results.

What Is The Nation's Report Card™?

The Nation's Report Card™ informs the public about the academic achievement of elementary and secondary students in the United States. Report cards communicate the findings of the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), a continuing and nationally representative measure of achievement in various subjects over time.

Since 1969, NAEP assessments have been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography,

and other subjects. NAEP collects and reports information on student performance at the national and state levels, making the assessment an integral part of our nation's evaluation of the condition and progress of education. Only academic achievement data and related background information are collected. The privacy of individual students and their families is protected.

NAEP is a congressionally authorized project of the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) within the Institute of Education Sciences of the U.S. Department of Education. The Commissioner of Education Statistics is responsible for carrying out the NAEP project. The National Assessment Governing Board oversees and sets policy for NAEP.



To stay up-to-date with the latest results and advances in NAEP assessments, follow us on Facebook and Twitter.

Photo Credits:

© Franckreporter/iStockphoto #21058060; © American Images Inc./ Digital Vision/Getty #72724181; © Aldo Murillo/iStockphoto #6614201; © Nastco/iStockphoto #22522027

What knowledge and skills are assessed?

The National Assessment Governing Board oversees the development of NAEP frameworks that describe the specific knowledge and skills to be assessed in each subject.

The NAEP mathematics assessment measures students' knowledge and skills in mathematics and students' ability to apply their knowledge in problem-solving situations. At each grade, students responded to multiple-choice and constructed-response questions designed to measure what they know and can do across five mathematics content areas:

- Number properties and operations
- Measurement
- Geometry
- Data analysis, statistics, and probability
- Algebra

The NAEP reading assessment measures students' reading comprehension by asking them to read selected grade-appropriate materials and answer questions based on what they have read. At each grade, students responded to multiple-choice and constructed-response questions designed to measure their reading comprehension across two types of texts:

- Literary texts include fiction, literary nonfiction, and poetry.
- Informational texts include expository, argumentative and persuasive, procedural, and document texts.

The complete subject area frameworks are available on the National Assessment Governing Board website at http://www.nagb.org/publications/frameworks.html.

How are results reported?

NAEP results in mathematics and reading are based on nationally representative samples of fourthand eighth-graders. The chart below shows the number of school and student participants in 2013.

Mathematics Reading

	Number of schools	Number of students	Number of schools	Number of students
4th Grade	7,930	186,500	7,920	190,400
8th Grade	6,520	170,100	6,510	171,800

NOTE: The number of schools is rounded to the nearest ten. The number of students is rounded to the nearest hundred.


SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Mathematics and Reading Assessments.

Student performance is reported as average scores on separate 0 to 500 scales in mathematics and reading, and as the percentages of students performing at or above three achievement levels (Basic, Proficient, and Advanced). Changes in students' performance over time are noted only if the differences in scores or percentages are determined to be statistically significant (p < .05). Because NAEP scales and achievement levels are developed independently for each subject, results cannot be compared across subjects.

Are the nation's students making progress in mathematics and reading?

Fourth- and eighth-graders are showing improvement on the NAEP mathematics and reading assessments (figure 1). Mathematics scores were higher in 2013 than in all previous assessment years at grades 4 and 8 (figure 2). Reading scores were higher in 2013 in comparison to all previous assessments at grade 8, and all but the 2011 assessment at grade 4 (figure 3).

FIGURE 1. Change in average scores for fourth- and eighth-grade students assessed in NAEP mathematics and reading: Various years, 1990–2013



^{*} Significantly different (p < .05) from 2013.

NOTE: Accommodations were not permitted in NAEP mathematics assessments prior to 1996, and in NAEP reading assessments prior to 1998. Score-point changes are calculated using unrounded average scores as opposed to the rounded scores displayed under each column.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 1990–2013 Mathematics and Reading Assessments.

FIGURE 2. Trend in fourth- and eighth-grade NAEP mathematics average scores





FIGURE 3. Trend in fourth- and eighth-grade NAEP reading average scores





Explore student performance in detail.

The NAEP 2013 online report card provides more in-depth information on progress made by lower and higher performing students and by student groups based on selected demographic characteristics such as race/ethnicity, gender, and eligibility for the National School Lunch Program. Changes in student demographics and achievement gaps are also explored at http://nationsreportcard.gov/reading_math_2013/#/performance-overview.

What level of knowledge and skills have the nation's students achieved?


NAEP achievement levels are performance standards describing what students should know and be able to do at the *Basic*, *Proficient*, and *Advanced* levels. The cut score indicating the lower end of the score range for each level is noted after the description.


4th Grade	8th Grade
Till Glade	otii Grade

Mathematics			
Basic	Fourth-grade students performing at the <i>Basic</i> level should show some evidence of understanding the mathematical concepts and procedures in the five NAEP content areas. (214)	Eighth-grade students performing at the <i>Basic</i> level should exhibit evidence of conceptual and procedural understanding in the five NAEP content areas. This level of performance signifies an understanding of arithmetic operations—including estimation—on whole numbers, decimals, fractions, and percents. (262)	
Proficient	Fourth-grade students performing at the <i>Proficient</i> level should consistently apply integrated procedural knowledge and conceptual understanding to problem solving in the five NAEP content areas. (249)	Eighth-grade students performing at the <i>Proficient</i> level should apply mathematical concepts and procedures consistently to complex problems in the five NAEP content areas. (299)	
Advanced	Fourth-grade students performing at the Advanced level should apply integrated procedural knowledge and conceptual understanding to complex and nonroutine real-world problem solving in the five NAEP content areas. (282)	Eighth-grade students performing at the Advanced level should be able to reach beyond the recognition, identification, and application of mathematical rules in order to generalize and synthesize concepts and principles in the five NAEP content areas. (333)	
Reading			
Basic	Fourth-grade students performing at the <i>Basic</i> level should be able to locate relevant information, make simple inferences, and use their understanding of the text to identify details that support a given interpretation or conclusion. Students should be able to interpret the meaning of a word as it is used in the text. (208)	Eighth-grade students performing at the <i>Basic</i> level should be able to locate information; identify statements of main idea, theme, or author's purpose; and make simple inferences from texts. They should be able to interpret the meaning of a word as it is used in the text. Students performing at this level should also be able to state judgments and give some support about content and presentation of content. (243)	
Proficient	Fourth-grade students performing at the <i>Proficient</i> level should be able to integrate and interpret texts and apply their understanding of the text to draw conclusions and make evaluations. (238)	Eighth-grade students performing at the <i>Proficient</i> level should be able to provide relevant information and summarize main ideas and themes. They should be able to make and support inferences about a text, connect parts of a text, and analyze text features. Students performing at this level should also be able to fully substantiate judgments about content and presentation of content. (281)	
Advanced	Fourth-grade students performing at the Advanced level should be able to make complex inferences and construct and support their inferential understanding of the text. Students should be able to apply their understanding of a text to make and support a judgment. (268)	Eighth-grade students performing at the Advanced level should be able to make connections within and across texts and to explain causal relations. They should be able to evaluate and justify the strength of supporting evidence and the quality of an author's presentation. Students performing at the Advanced level also should be able to manage the processing demands of analysis and evaluation by stating, explaining, and justifying. (323)	

Students performing at or above the *Proficient* level on NAEP assessments demonstrate solid academic performance and competency over challenging subject matter. The percentages of students at or above *Proficient* increased from 2011 to 2013 in mathematics at grade 4, and in reading at grades 4 and 8, and were higher than in the early 1990s in both subjects and grades (figures 4 and 5).

FIGURE 4. Achievement-level results in fourth- and eighth-grade NAEP mathematics: 1990, 2011, and 2013







NOTE: Accommodations were not permitted in NAEP mathematics assessments prior to 1996. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 1990, 2011, and 2013 Mathematics Assessments.

FIGURE 5. Achievement-level results in fourth- and eighth-grade NAEP reading: 1992, 2011, and 2013





NOTE: Accommodations were not permitted in NAEP reading assessments prior to 1998. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 1992, 2011, and 2013 Reading Assessments.



See what questions students performing at the *Proficient* level were able to answer.

NAEP item maps are helpful for gaining a better understanding of what students performing at different achievement levels are able to do. Learn more about item maps and try **sample questions** at http://nationsreportcard.gov/reading_math_2013/#/what-knowledge.


^{*} Significantly different (p < .05) from 2013.

^{*} Significantly different (p < .05) from 2013.


How are states performing?

Forty-one percent of public school students at grade 4 and 34 percent at grade 8 performed at or above *Proficient* in mathematics in 2013. The percentages of students at or above *Proficient* in the states ranged from 19 to 59 percent. Nineteen states/jurisdictions had higher percentages at or above *Proficient* than the nation at both grades 4 and 8, and 11 had lower percentages at both grades (figure 6).

FIGURE 6. Percentage comparison between states and the nation for public school students at or above *Proficient* in fourth- and eighth-grade NAEP mathematics: 2013




In 2013, the percentage of students performing at or above *Proficient* in mathematics was:




¹ Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).

Thirty-four percent of public school students performed at or above *Proficient* in reading in 2013 at both grades 4 and 8, with the percentages in the states ranging from 17 to 48 percent. Fifteen states/jurisdictions had higher percentages at or above *Proficient* than the nation at both grades 4 and 8, and 14 had lower percentages at both grades (figure 7).

FIGURE 7. Percentage comparison between states and the nation for public school students at or above *Proficient* in fourth- and eighth-grade NAEP reading: 2013



In 2013, the percentage of students performing at or above Proficient in reading was:



¹ Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.




Explore state results in detail.

See which states are making gains and use the new **interactive state graphics** to view detailed results for up to four states at a time at http://nationsreportcard.gov/reading_math_2013/#/state-performance.

What other information is available online?

Results from the 2013 NAEP mathematics and reading assessments can be explored in more detail at http://nationsreportcard.gov/reading_math_2013. The NAEP website features a number of applications designed to give users the ability to analyze NAEP data, explore assessment questions, and compare state results at http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/about/naeptools.aspx.





Use the NAEP Data Explorer to see additional results based on information collected from school, teacher, and student questionnaires and create custom tables, graphics, and maps with results for the nation or states.

http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/naepdata

Use the NAEP Questions Tool to view more than 2,000 questions released in nine subject areas along with actual student responses, scoring guides, and data on how students performed on each question.

http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/itmrlsx

Use the State Comparison feature to create tables and maps comparing average state scores for students overall and for selected student groups.

http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/ statecomparisons **View State Profiles** highlighting information on a state's student and school characteristics, and a summary of its performance on NAEP assessments.

http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/states

U.S. Department of Education

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is a congressionally authorized project sponsored by the U.S. Department of Education. The National Center for Education Statistics, within the Institute of Education Sciences, administers NAEP. The Commissioner of Education Statistics is responsible by law for carrying out the NAEP project.

Arne Duncan Secretary U.S. Department

of Education

John Q. Easton Director Institute of **Education Sciences**

Jack Buckley Commissioner National Center for **Education Statistics**

Peggy G. Carr **Associate Commissioner** for Assessment National Center for

Education Statistics

The National Assessment Governing Board

In 1988, Congress created the National Assessment Governing Board to set policy for the National Assessment of Educational Progress, commonly known as The Nation's Report Card™. The Governing Board is an independent, bipartisan group whose members include governors, state legislators, local and state school officials, educators, business representatives, and members of the general public.

Honorable David P. Driscoll,

Former Commissioner of Education Melrose, Massachusetts

Susan Pimentel. Vice Chair

Educational Consultant Hanover, New Hampshire

Andrés Alonso

Professor of Practice

Harvard Graduate School of Education Cambridge, Massachusetts Harvard University Cambridge, Massachusetts

Lucille E. Davy

President and CEO

Transformative Education Solutions, LLC Pennington, New Jersey

Louis M. Fabrizio Data, Research and Federal

Policy Director

North Carolina Department of Public Instruction

Raleigh, North Carolina

Honorable Anitere Flores

Florida State Senate Miami, Florida

Rebecca Gagnon

School Board Member Minneapolis Public Schools Minneapolis, Minnesota

Shannon Garrison

Fourth-Grade Teacher

Solano Avenue Elementary School Los Angeles, California

Doris R. Hicks

Principal and Chief Executive Officer

Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Charter School for Science and Technology New Orleans, Louisiana

Andrew Dean Ho

Assistant Professor

Harvard Graduate School of Education **Harvard University**

Honorable Terry Holliday

Commissioner of Education

Kentucky Department of Education Lexington, Kentucky

Richard Brent Houston

Assistant Superintendent

Shawnee Public Schools Shawnee, Oklahoma

Hector Ibarra

Eighth-Grade Teacher

Belin-Blank International Center and Talent Development Iowa City, Iowa

Honorable Tom Luna

Idaho Superintendent of Public Instruction

Boise, Idaho

Terry Mazany President and CEO

The Chicago Community Trust Chicago, Illinois

Tonya Miles

General Public Representative Mitchellville, Maryland

Twelfth-Grade Teacher

Columbus North High School Columbus, Indiana

Joseph M. O'Keefe, S.J.

Professor

Lynch School of Education **Boston College** Chestnut Hill, Massachusetts

W. James Popham

Professor Emeritus

University of California, Los Angeles Wilsonville, Oregon

B. Fielding Rolston

Chairman

Tennessee State Board of Education Kingsport, Tennessee

Carv Sneider

Associate Research Professor

Portland State University Portland, Oregon

Honorable Leticia Van de Putte

Senator

Texas State Senate San Antonio, Texas

John Q. Easton (Ex officio)

Director

Institute of Education Sciences U.S. Department of Education Washington, D.C.

Cornelia S. Orr

Executive Director

National Assessment Governing Board Washington, D.C.

The Nation's Report Card

A First Look: 2013

Mathematics and Reading

November 2013

SUGGESTED CITATION

National Center for Education Statistics (2013).

The Nation's Report Card: A First Look: 2013

Mathematics and Reading (NCES 2014-451).

Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education, Washington, D.C.

CONTENT CONTACT

Angela Glymph • 202-219-7127 angela.glymph@ed.gov

Samantha Burg • 202-502-7335 samantha.burg@ed.gov

This report was prepared for the National Center for Education Statistics under Contract No. ED-IES-13-C-0017 with **Educational Testing Service. Mention of** trade names, commercial products, or organizations does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

MORE INFORMATION

The report release site is http://nationsreportcard.gov.

The NCES Publications and Products

http://nces.ed.gov/pubsearch.

For ordering information, write to **ED Pubs**

U.S. Department of Education P.O. Box 22207

or call toll free 1-877-4-ED-Pubs

or order online at

Alexandria, VA 22304

http://www.edpubs.gov.



"The Department of Education's mission is to promote student achievement and preparation for global competitiveness by fostering educational excellence and ensuring equal access."